## **THE 1,4,2,3-DIOXAUIAZINE RING SYSTEM**

**Dabney K. White Dixon,\* Randy H. Weiss and William M, Nelson Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130** 

**Abstract: The 1,4,2,3-dioxadiazine ring has been synthesized by oxidation of the corresponding 1,2-bishydroxylarnine. When the nitrogen substituents are carbalkoxy groups, thermolysis gives tne biscarbonate.** 

**The chemiluminescence of dioxetanes 1 is dn area of continuing interest.' Entry into the excited state is thougnt to involve homolysis of the peroxide bond to give a 1,4-dioxygen diradical 2 followed by cleavage to give excited products. The factors which control the activation energy, product triplet to singlet ratio, and distribution of energy between the two fragments are still not well understood. One approach to this area is to create the 1,4-dioxygen diradical from a precursor other than the dioxetane. To this end, we have synthesized the first 1,4,2,3-dioxadiazine 3. This paper reports the synthesis and thermal**  decomposition of carbalkoxy derivatives of this class  $(R = CQ_2Me$ ,  $CQ_2Et$ ). These molecules



are stable at room temperature but decompose when heated over 100°C in solution. The pro**ducts are unexpected. Decomposition does not give two molecules of formaldehyde and the azodicarboxylate ester but the biscarbonate 4.** 

**Compound &a was synthesized by condensation of 1,2-bis(aminooxy)ethane2 with methyl chloroformate to give the bisurethane 5a. This was treated with three oxidizing equivalents**  of nickel peroxide<sup>3</sup> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature. Standard workup followed by recrystalli-



4393

zation from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/hexane gave fine, hard, white crystals which decomposed at 50° and melted **at 85°** (2°/min):4 <sup>1</sup>H NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) 3.88 (s, 6 H) and 4.31 (s, 4 H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 <code>MHz, CDC13</code>) 155.2, 73.3 and 54.8 ppm; IR (CHC1<sub>3</sub>): 2960, 1758, 1438, 1275 and 907  $\text{C} \cdot \text{n}^{-1}$ : mass **spectrum m/e 176,** 118, 103, 86 and 54. -

The ethyl derivative 3b was synthesized in a manner similar to 3a:  $\frac{1}{1}$  NMR (CDC13) 1.31 (t, **J =** 7 **Hz,** 6 **H),** 4.27 (4, **J =** 7 **Hz,** 4 **H),** 4.27 (s, 4 **H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDC13) 154.7, 73.1, 64.1, 14.2 ppm; IR (CHCl3) 1760, 1373, 1270, 1060 cm<sup>-1</sup>; mass spectrum m/e 132, 117, and 89.** 

**Few dialkoxyhydrazines are known.5 The N,N'-dicarbalkoxy derivatives are stable to**  distillation  $( \sim 100^{\circ}$  at  $\sim 1$  torr), and can be refluxed in methanol-water without noticeable **decomposition, but decompose rdpidly in acid or bdse.!ja** 

Thermolysis of 3a in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>Br (137°C for 3 h) gave the corresponding biscarbonate in quan**titative yield (NMR). Thermolysis of a mixture of the methyl, 3a, and ethyl, 3b, esters in**  Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub> (157°C, 3 h) gave dimethyl, 4a, and diethyl, 4b, carbonates but no methyl etnyl carbonate (glc would have detected  $\geq 1\%$ ).

When the reaction was followed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>0<sub>5</sub>Br, 114°) asymmetric and complex spectra were seen (Figure). In this solvent, these spectra appear to reflect only one major



**Figure. Methylene and methyl regions observed in the thermal decomposition of 3a. Lower**  trace: after equilibrating at  $114^{\circ}$ C ( $\sim$  15 min). Upper trace: 4.5 h later. Peaks at 4.15 **and 3.51 ppm are the CH2 and CH3 groups, respectively, of 4a. Peaks are referenced to the**  downfield resonance of C<sub>6</sub>0<sub>5</sub>Br at 7.30. The spectra have been resolution enhanced.

**intermediate, I. Conversion of 3a to I is 5-10 times faster than conversion of I to 4a.** A similar result was found in Me<sub>2</sub>S0-d<sub>6</sub>. The half-life for the 3a to I conversion at  $114^{\circ}$ C is  $\sim$  1 hr in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>Br and  $\sim$  3 hr in Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>. The similarity of these rates argues against an ionic **reaction. The lack of any cross product indicates either a concerted reaction or a rddical rearrangement.** 

We propose that the reaction proceeds through intermediates 6 and 7, and that 6 is the major intermediate seen by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Cooley et al. have postulated that 8 decomposes through an alkoxydiazene.<sup>5f</sup> The complexity of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra in the thermal decomposition of 3a **argues against 7 as the only intermediate. If thernolyis begins by homolytic cleavage of the N,O bond to give 9, rearrangement could give 6 as shown below. Formation of 6 demands either**  a 1,2-acyl shift or a cleavage-recombination reaction. Alkoxycarbonyl radicals add to aroma**tic solvents,6 and usually escape the cage to a significant extent.7 The high yield and lack of crossover product in this system argue against the free alkoxycarbonyl radical.** 

**Compound 6 has a 1,1-dialkoxyhydrazine structure. 1,1-Dialkoxyamines are pyramidal at nitroyen, and invert slowly on the NMR time scale.\* Hydrazines generally adopt a conforination with the lone pairs perpendicular to one another. Rotation and/or inversion of the**  nitrogens is often slow.<sup>9</sup> Compound 6 also has the 1,1-dicarbalkoxyamine group, in which the two carbalkoxy moieties can adopt <u>syn,sy</u>n; s<u>yn,anti</u>; and anti,anti conformations. If inver**sion at tne dialkoxyamine and rotation around the N-N bond are slow, and the dicarbalkoxy nitrogen is planar (one conformation shown below), there should be eight methyl resonances.**  Other conformations or intermediates would increase this number. The resonances observed in the Figure reflect both solvent (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>Br) and field (360 MHz). In Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub> at 100 MHz only **two rnethyl sinylets were seen throughout the reaction.** 



When the reaction was followed by  $^{13}$ C NMR (25 MHz,  $137^{\circ}$ C, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>), two intermediate **peaks that belonged to neither 3a nor 4a were seen. One was appropriate for a CH2CH20NRCO2R**  group  $\sim$  72.5 ppm) and the second for a  $CH_2CH_2OCO_2R$  group  $\sim$  64.5 ppm). The methyl peaks **were not resolved, and it is possible that there are other accidentally equivalent peaks.**  This was found to be the case in a similar thermolysis experiment using C<sub>6</sub>0<sub>5</sub>Br as solvent.

**When the reaction was followed by IR (CgHgBr, 114') changes in the carbonyl (1765+1754**   $cm^{-1}$ ) and N-0 (1275+1272  $cm^{-1}$ ) regions were seen. No absorptions with intensity  $> 10\%$  of **chat of the carbonyl were seen** in **the 1480-1700 cm-l region. Asyrrunetric azo compounds have**  v<sub>s</sub> frequencies in the 1540-1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> region.<sup>10</sup> If 7 is present in substantial amounts, the **extinction coefficient of the N-N stretch is low.** 

We expect the RCO-N=N-OR (7) moiety to be more thermally labile than the  $(R0)$ <sub>2</sub>N-N(COR)<sub>2</sub> **(6). The former should be intermediate in stability between a hyponitrite [e.g.**   $(CH_3)$ <sub>3</sub>CON=NOC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>,  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 27.9$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = 8.2$  eu;  $\tau_{1/2}$  (calc.) = 10 sec at  $114^{\circ}$ C]<sup>11</sup> and an azodicarboxylate diester [e.g. CH<sub>3</sub>0<sub>2</sub>CN=NCO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, k = 6.4 x 10<sup>-6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 162°, gas phase].<sup>12</sup> The (RO)<sub>2</sub>N-N(COR)<sub>2</sub> moiety is likely to be reasonably stable. Dialkoxyamines **(including some 1,3,2-dioxazolidines 3b) and 1,1-diacylnydrazines are distillable compounds.** 

In summary, bisurethanes 5 can be oxidized to the dioxadiazines 3. Upon thermolysis **this new ring system gives, not formaldehyde and the dialkyl azodicarboxyldte, but the biscarbonate 4. Intermediates 6 and 7 are proposed.** 

## **Acknowledgements:**

**We thank the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administrated by the American Chemical Society, for support of this work.** 

## **References:** -

- **1. a) Bartlett, P.0.; Landis, M.E. in "Singlet Oxygen"; Academic Press: New York, 1979; pp. 243-286. b) Horn, K.A.; Koo, J.-Y.; Schmidt, S.P.; Schuster, G.B. i"o1. Photochem ----2**  1978-79, 2, **l-37. c) Adam, W. & Heterocycl. Chem.** 1977, 2, **437-481. dT WiTson, T. MTP Int.**  Rev. Sci.: Phys. Chem., Ser. Two 1976, 9, 265.
- 2. Bauer, L.; Suresh, K.S. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 1604-1608.
- **3. Nakayawa, K.; Konaka, R.; Nakata, T. J. Org. &** 1962, **27, 1597-1601. -- --**
- **4. Repeated attempts at eleinental analyses gave percentages that were low in nitrogen. Examples are 3a: Anal. Calcd. for C6HloN206: C, 34.96; Ii, 4.89; N, 13.59. Found: C, 35.07; H, 4.85; N, 12.1)1 and 3b: Anal. Calcd. for C8H14N2v6: C, 41.03; Ii, 6.03; N, 11.96. Found: C, 41.54, H, 5.95; N, 11.24. This is consistent with the facile loss of N2 from these compounds.**
- **5. a) Crawford, R.J.; Raap, R. J. Org. Chem.** 1963, 3, **2419-2424. D) Koenig, T.; Hoobler, J.A.; Mabey, W.R. <u>J. Am. Chem.</u>** J.A.; Mabey, W.R. J. <u>Am. Chem. Soc. 1</u>972, 94, 2514-2515. c) Norman, R.O.C.; Purchase,<br>R.; Thomas, C.B. <u>J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1972**, 1701-1704. d) Barton, D.H.R.;</u> R.; Thomas, C.B. <u>J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1</u> **1972**, 1701–1704. -d) Barton, D.H.R.;<br>Harris, R.L.; Hesse, R.H.; Pechet, M.M.; Urban, F.J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1974, 2344-2346.** e) Kaba, R.A.; Ingold, K.U. <u>J. Am. Chem.</u> **f**) Cooley, J.H.; Stone, D.M.; Oguri, H. <u>J. Org. Chem. 1**977**, 42,</u> 3096-3097. g)<br>f) Cooley, J.H.; Stone, D.M.; Oguri, H. <u>J. Org. Chem. **1977**, 42,</u> 3096-3097. g) **Forrester, A.R.; Johdnsson, E.M.; ThomsoKR.H.xChem. Sot., Perkin Trans. 1** 1979, **1112-1119. h) Ozdki, S.; Masui, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull.** 1979, **i17,35713r-**
- **6. Fiorentino, M.; Testaferri, L.; Tiecco, M.; Troisi, L. J. Org. Chem.** 1976, **41, 173-175.**  b) Zabel, D.E.; Trahanovsky, W.S. <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 1972, <u>37</u>, 2413–2418.
- **7. a) Hdrtlett, P.D.; R.A.; Pincock, R.E. J. Am. Chem. Sot.** 1960, **82, 1769-1773. b) McClelland, Norman, R.U.C.; Inomas, C.B.** <u>J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1</u> 1972, 578-581.
- a. **a) Kostyanovsky, R.G.; Rudchenko, V.F.; Shtambury, V.G.; Chervin, 1.1.; Naslbov, S.S.**  Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 4245-4254. b) Rudchenko, V.F.; Shtamburg, V.G.; Pleshkzova, A.P.; Nasibov, Sh.S.; Chervin, I.I.; Kostyanovskii, R.G. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR 1981, **211)0-2109.**
- 9. a) Sutherland, 1.0. <u>Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 1971, 4</u>, 71-235. b) Lambert, J.B. in -<br>"Topics in Stereochemistry", Vol. VI; ElieT, E.L.; Allinger, N.L. Eds.; Wiley: New **York, 1971, pp. 19-105. c) Lehn, J.M. Fort. Chem. Forsch.** 1970, **15 311-377. ------.\_\_ -..'**
- **10. a) rsuji, T.; Kosower, E.M. J. Am. Chem. Sot.** 1971, 93, **1992-1999.**  Luttke, w. <u>Ber. Bunsenges. Physik. Chem. **196**3, 6</u>7, **h) Kiibler, R.;**  k. Chem. **1963,** 67, 2-16. c) Dinwoodie, A.H.; Hazeldine, R.N. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 2266-2268.
- 11. Kiefer, H.; Traylor, T.G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 6163-6168.
- **12. In dodecdne the illi?;\\) polymerized. Jones, A.; Morris, E.K.; Thynne,** J.C.J. J. **Phys ---\_' Chem. 1968,** <u>72</u>, 2677-2678.

**(Received in USA** 23 **June 1983)**